Building vs. CMO
Expanding Operations to Canada

Expanding operations into Canada within the bio-manufacturing industry constitutes a pivotal decision for any company. The choice between establishing an independent facility vs. collaborating with a Contract Manufacturing Organization (CMO) encompasses a diverse array of factors that necessitate careful deliberation.

Category	Self-Built Facility	CMO
Control	✓ Full control over manufacturing processes and quality standards.	✗ Reduced control over manufacturing processes and quality standards.
Costs	✓ Potential long-term cost benefits over time.	✓ Lower initial capital investment.
Regulatory Compliance	✗ Time-consuming and complex regulatory challenges.	✓ Access to CMO's regulatory expertise and compliance.
Flexibility	✓ Ability to adapt to market fluctuations independently.	✓ Easy scalability according to demand.
Speed to Market	✗ Potential delays due to regulatory compliance.	✓ Quicker entry into the Canadian market.
Reputation Building	✓ Establishment of a physical presence and local relationships.	✗ May not contribute significantly to local presence.
Operations Risk	✗ Sole responsibility for market fluctuations and inefficiencies.	✗ Some operational risks shared with the CMO.
Cost Over Time	✗ Significant upfront capital investment.	✓ Avoidance of significant upfront capital investment.
Coordinating Challenges	✓ No external coordination required.	✗ Potential challenges in managing activities with a third-party.
Risk of IP Exposure	✓ Proprietary technology protection.	✗ Possibility of proprietary technology exposure.

The decision between establishing a proprietary facility or partnering with a CMO in Canada hinges upon the company's financial capacity, risk tolerance and strategic objectives. Companies inclined towards full control and willing to commit to long-term infrastructure investments may opt for facility construction. Conversely, those seeking expedited market entry with reduced initial costs may favour collaboration with a CMO. A meticulous evaluation of the pros and cons is pivotal in guiding the decision-making process for a successful expansion to Canada.

In both situations, it is imperative for companies to assess and consider capacity to expand production in tandem with the product's growth. In a contractual arrangement, one's allocation of line time, among other resources, is likely to be constrained. Hence, it is crucial that you conduct a comprehensive assessment and projection of potential capacity requirements, integrating mechanisms that facilitate adaptability to fluctuations in capacity and enable expansion as required. In the event that you are establishing your own manufacturing facility, you may have or desire the option to outsource a portion of the production capacity while scaling your own product or transitioning. In such a scenario, it is advisable to maintain flexibility in any licensing out of line space in order to smoothly adjust the contracted capacity downward as needed.

Establishing a Self-Built Facility

The construction of an independent facility offers several advantages. Primarily, it affords the company complete control over the manufacturing process, ensuring the maintenance of the highest level of quality and safeguarding of proprietary knowledge. Additionally, facility ownership may yield long-term cost benefits, potentially leading to substantial savings in comparison to engaging a CMO. Moreover, the physical presence in Canada enhances the company's reputation and fosters deeper relationships with local regulators and partners.

Nevertheless, building a proprietary facility carries inherent downsides. It necessitates a substantial initial investment in infrastructure, equipment, and personnel, which can pose significant financial challenges. Regulatory compliance and permitting can also prove intricate and time-consuming, potentially causing delays in operations. Furthermore, sole responsibility for market fluctuations and shifts in demand may result in underutilization of the facility and operational inefficiencies.

Collaboration with a CMO

Opting to work alongside a CMO offers distinct advantages. It enables swift market entry into Canada without the need for substantial upfront capital investment. CMOs provide invaluable assistance to companies lacking the requisite staff, resources, or expertise for in-house manufacturing. These entities often possess well-established proficiency in regulatory compliance and quality control, thereby alleviating the company's burden in these areas and ensuring the production of high-quality goods. Moreover, CMOs offer flexibility in production scalability in response to demand fluctuations, consequently minimizing overhead costs and enhancing return on investment. Furthermore, CMOs can expedite product development and turnaround, accelerating product launch and facilitating scalability, along with flexible product capacity. This approach optimizes resource allocation, improves efficiency, and streamlines cost management.

Nevertheless, reliance on a CMO is not without drawbacks. It may entail reduced control over the manufacturing process and quality standards, potentially compromising the protection of proprietary technology. Additionally, ongoing partnership fees may accumulate over time, potentially diminishing long-term cost-effectiveness. Coordinating activities and communication with a third-party provider may present challenges that impact operational efficiency.

It is worth noting that research to identify suitable facilities is imperative, as a misaligned choice can lead to a loss of control and compliance risks, which can jeopardize intellectual property protection and erode profits. As a result, it is imperative to have strict intellectual property coverage, including protection for proprietary information and trade secrets, in all contracts as well as monitoring mechanisms to ensure compliance.

Fiona Brown | Partner | 416.865.3078 | fbrown@airdberlis.com
https://www.airdberlis.com/people/bio/fiona-brown
https://www.linkedin.com/in/fiona-brown-7b47b110

Contacts

Fiona Brown

Partner

T 416.865.3078

E fbrown@airdberlis.com

Fiona has extensive experience advising international businesses entering the Canadian market. To date, she has advised more than 100 companies expanding into Canada. Fiona advises clients in this space all day, every day. She has been practising for more than a decade and is a regular speaker and writer on market expansion matters. Fiona is proud to have been recognized by *The Best Lawyers in Canada, The Canadian Legal Lexpert Directory* and *Benchmark Canada.*

A proactive and comprehensive approach is required to succeed in a new market. Fiona manages teams of other lawyers and patent agents to provide her clients with a full range of legal services to help their businesses grow. She acts as project manager to ensure her clients receive seamless legal services in all relevant areas.

Fiona takes great care to understand her clients' businesses and deliver advice that is tailored to meeting their specific needs. Her responsiveness, dedication to clear communication and hands-on approach show that she is personally invested in the success of her clients.

Amy Grenon

Partner

Amy is a member of the firm's Intellectual Property and Litigation & Dispute Resolution Groups. She represents a wide variety of clients, including startup and scaling companies, multinational organizations and Fortune 500 companies, across sectors such as life sciences, technology, media and sports, manufacturing, energy and consumer packaged goods.

Amy guides clients on branding and copyright issues, trademark portfolio management and strategy, and has represented clients in trademark oppositions, trademark and copyright infringement and passing-off proceedings, as well as proceedings pertaining to domain names and social media-related disputes.

Amy is a strong litigator with significant experience representing clients on a broad range of intellectual property matters. Her practice includes all areas of intellectual property law, with a particular emphasis on complex interjurisdictional IP litigation. She has appeared before the Federal Court, the Federal Court of Appeal, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice and specialized tribunals such as the Trademarks Opposition Board. Amy frequently presents and contributes to publications in the intellectual property field.

*Natasha R. Atyeo, an articling student at Aird & Berlis LLP, assisted with the drafting of this article.